IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

THURSDAY, THE 15TH MARCH 2007 / 24TH PHALGUNA 1928

RP.No. 189 of 2007(W)

(AGAINST THE JUDGMENT IN OP.10066/2002 dtd.5.1.2007)
.....

REVIEW PETITIONER/SECOND RESPONDENT:

CORPORATION OF THIRUVANANTHAPURAM,
REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY, CORPORATION OF
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.N.NANDAKUMARA MENON

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER & RESPONDENTS 1 & 3:
---

1. CAPT.J.G. JOSEPH, T.C.27/807,
RAMAKRISHNA REDDIAR ROAD (NEAR NEW THEATRE)
THAMPANOOR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 014.

2. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, LOCAL SELF
GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

3. SHRI. P.M. JACOB, S/O.SHRI.P.I.MARCOSE,
PERUMACHERIL VEEDU, CHINGAVANAM, KOTTAYAM.

BY SR.GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.P.N.SANTHOSH
SRI.B.REGHUNATHAN
SRI.V.V.MATHEW
SRI.P.P.JACOB
SRI.GEORGE VARGHESE

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION

ON 15/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE

FOLLOWING:

S. SIRI JAGAN, J.

R.P.NO.189 OF 2007
in
O.P.NO.10066 OF 2002

Dated this the 15th day of March, 2007

O R D E R

On a consideration of the review petition, I am not satisfied that the review petitioner has made out a case for reviewing my judgment, especially in view of the submission made before me that a writ appeal (W.A.No.321 of 2007)arising from the judgment in O.P.No.10066 of 2002, filed by the third respondent has been admitted and is pending. In any event, the review petitioner cannot in any way be aggrieved by the directions in the judgment.

In the above circumstances, the review petition is dismissed.

sd/-

S. SIRI JAGAN,
Judge

Mbs/

-true copy-

P.A.to Judge.

K.THANKAPPAN, J

Crl.Appeal NO. OF 2001

J U D G M E N T

DATED:13-02-2007